



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,569	01/26/2001	Cynthia M. Merkin	16356.572 (DC-02618)	7616
27683 75	590 03/11/2005		EXAMINER	
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP			CAO, CHUN	
901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100 DALLAS, TX 75202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2115	
			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.=		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/770,569	MERKIN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chun Cao	2115			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. o period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl o period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1/11/05 and RCE filed on 2/1/05.					
2a)□						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)[Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment	` ,		(PTO 110)			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 09/770,569

Art Unit: 2115

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-30 are presented for examination.

2. The text of those applicable section of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 3. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/1/05 has been entered.
- 4. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bell et al. (Bell), US patent no. 5,875,293 in view of Schaefer (Schaefer), U.S. Patent No. 6,487,610.

Bell and Schaefer are the references cited in prior office action.

As per claim 1, Bell discloses a system [fig. 1] comprising:

a computer system [100, fig. 2A] under test [fig. 1; col. 2, lines 22-25, 59-67; col. 5, lines 9-22] including a processor and a memory [col. 5, lines 45-64] and configured to boot using a system firmware [col. 5, lines 32-35], the system firmware including instructions for causing the computer system to:

Page 2

Art Unit: 2115

a test apparatus coupled to the computer system [col. 2, lines 28-32, 59-67; col. 5, lines 32-39]; and

initiate a manufacture mode of the system firmware [col. 5, lines 32-35]; and in response to the manufacturing mode being initiated, instructions in the computer system transfer a control code between the computer system and the test apparatus [col. 10, lines 32-51].

Bell fails to detect a test apparatus coupled to the computer system, and initiate a manufacturing mode of the system firmware in response to detecting the test apparatus coupled to the computer system.

Schaefer teaches that a system firmware including instructions for causing the computer system to detect a test apparatus coupled to the computer system [col. 4, lines 4-11; col. 6, lines 49-61; col. 7, lines 39-41, 48-50], and initiating a manufacturing mode of the system firmware in response to detecting the test apparatus coupled to the computer system [col. 1, lines 62-63; col. 3, lines 45-66; col. 4, line 62-col. 5, line 6; col. 8, lines 1-6, 21-30].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention to combine the teachings of Bell and Schaefer because they both teach a computer system for manufacturing testing, and the specific teaching of Schaefer states above would improve the efficiency of Bell's system by automatically initiating a manufacturing mode under test a computer system if a test apparatus is detected.

As per claim 2, Schaefer discloses that the system firmware includes instructions for causing the computer system to:

provide a first value to the test apparatus [col. 6, lines 49-51; col. 7, lines 39-41, 48-50]; receive a second value from the test apparatus in response to providing the first value to the test apparatus [col. 7, lines 61-64]; and initiate the manufacturing mode in response to receiving the second value from the test apparatus [col. 8, lines 1-30].

As to claims 3-6, Bell discloses the invention as claimed including perform an input/output operation to cause the test apparatus to access the control code, perform a memory operation to cause the test apparatus store the information provided onto the computer system [figs. 5, 6; col. 10, line 57-col. 11, line 7;col. 17, lines 42-49].

As per claim 7, Schaefer discloses that the system firmware includes instructions for causing the computer system to:

not initiate the manufacturing mode in response to not receiving the second value from the test apparatus [col. 7, lines 55-61].

As per claim 8, Bell discloses that the manufacturing mode of the system firmware includes instructions for causing the computer system to: receive information from the test apparatus; and store the information on a device in the computer system [col. 5, lines 27-29].

As per claim 9, Bell discloses that the manufacturing mode of the system firmware includes instructions for causing the computer system to: store the system firmware on a device in the computer system [col. 5, lines 27-29].

Application/Control Number: 09/770,569 Page 5

Art Unit: 2115

As to claims 10-18, Bell and Schaefer together teach the claimed system.
 Therefore, Bell and Schaefer together teach the claimed computer program product for carrying out the system.

- 6. As to claims 19-27, Bell and Schaefer together teach the claimed system.

 Therefore, Bell and Schaefer together teach the claimed method of steps for carrying out the system.
- 7. As to claims 28-30 are written in means plus function format and contained same limitations as claims 1-3, therefore same rejection is applied.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed on 1/11/2005 have been fully considered but are not persuasive.
- 9. In the remarks, applicant argued in substance that the cited references do not teach or suggest 1) in response to the manufacturing mode being initiated, instructions in the computer system transfer a control code between the computer system and the test apparatus. 2) There is simply no basis in the art for combining the references to support a 35 U.S.C. 103 rejection because neither Bell et al. patent nor the Schaefer patent teaches or even suggests the desirability of the combination. Moreover, neither patent provides any incentive or motivation supporting the desirability of the combination.

The examiner respectfully submits that applicant's position is not persuasive. As to 1) Bell discloses in response to the manufacturing mode being initiated, instructions

Art Unit: 2115

in the computer system transfer a control code between the computer system and the test apparatus [col. 10, lines 32-51]. Also see detail rejection of claim 1 above.

In response to applicant's argument that 2) there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re* Jones, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Bell fails to detect a test apparatus coupled to the computer system, and initiate a manufacturing mode of the system firmware in response to detecting the test apparatus coupled to the computer system. However, Schaefer teaches that a system firmware including instructions for causing the computer system to detect a test apparatus coupled to the computer system [col. 4, lines 4-11; col. 6, lines 49-61; col. 7, lines 39-41, 48-50], and initiating a manufacturing mode of the system firmware in response to detecting the test apparatus coupled to the computer system [col. 1, lines 62-63; col. 3, lines 45-66; col. 4, line 62-col. 5, line 6; col. 8, lines 1-6, 21-30]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention to combine the teachings of Bell and Schaefer because they both teach a computer system for manufacturing testing, and the specific teaching of Schaefer states above would improve the efficiency of Bell's system by automatically initiating a manufacturing mode under test a computer system if a test apparatus is detected.

Art Unit: 2115

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chun Cao whose telephone number is 571-272-3664. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas C. Lee can be reached on 571-272-3667. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chun Cao

Mar. 7, 2005